



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/594,922	06/15/2000	Laurence Roustell	759-009473-US(PAR)	9201

7590 10/18/2002

Clarence A Green
Perman & Green LLP
425 Post Road
Fairfield, CT 06430

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

CORBIN, ARTHUR L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1761	/

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TC-11

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/574,922	Roussel Et Al
Examiner ARTHUR L. CORBIN	Group Art Unit 1161

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7-30-02

This action is FINAL.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> | is/are pending in the application. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Of the above claim(s) <u>29-34</u> | is/are withdrawn from consideration. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> | is/are allowed. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ | is/are rejected. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ | is/are objected to. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ | are subject to restriction or election requirement |

Application Papers

- The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.
- The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner
- The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

- Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).
- All Some* None of the:
 - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

*Certified copies not received: _____

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary, PTO-413 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 | <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-28 are indefinite since it is not clear how ~~to~~ microscopic fibers (claim 1, line 10) become microscopic fibers (claim 1, line 12), as set forth in paragraph no. 7, Paper No. 9. There is no antecedent basis in claim 1 for "the extruded fibrous material" (claim 1, lines 8-9). Claim 7 is indefinite as to scope in reciting a genus ("textured") and species thereof ("usually by addition of ~~an~~") in a single claim as set forth in paragraph no. 7, Paper No. 9. Claim 24 is indefinite in not reciting how the dynamic method occurs. Claim 27 is indefinite since it is not clear how the color can be added to raw forms "and/or" after the cooking step. There is no antecedent basis in claim 7 for "melting material" (claim 25, line 2). Corrections are required.

3. Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 12, and 23 ~~and~~ 25 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claims 1 and 2, lines 4, "incorporating" should be changed to "including". In claim 1, lines 10 and 12 and claim 7, line 6, in an "should be changed to "on an". In claim 12, line 3, "the" should be canceled. In claim 24, line 3, the first comma should be canceled. In claim 25, line 3, "a" should be changed to "the". In claim 8, line 9, "an other" should be changed

Art Unit: 1761

to "another". In claim 23, line 2, "a" should be changed to "the". Appropriate correction is required.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-28 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yueh.

Applicant is referred to paragraph no.5, Paper No. 9.

6. Applicant's arguments filed July 30, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive. Texturization and extrusion cooking (claim 1) are method limitation^s entitled to no
patentable weight in applicant's product claims and are not recited in claim 6, as applicant ~~believes~~.

The paste material and fibrous material in Yueh are substantially equivalent to applicant's paste and fibrous material, especially since vacuum mixing only occurs in Example II of Yueh and not in any other example or in any claim of Yueh.

Applicant's comment that Yueh does not teach texturizing the paste by ~~aeration~~, as in claim 7, is not convincing since ~~aeration~~ is merely alternative to homogenizing or ~~emulsification~~ in claim 7, and these two techniques are conventional in preparing meat or fish paste. Additionally, emulsifying ~~will naturally~~ occur during the finely comminuting in Yueh (col. 2, lines 10-15).

7. Claims ²⁹ 29-34 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non elected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 8. Also, see the last sentence in paragraph no.1, Paper No.9.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is (703) 308-3850. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 10:00 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays .

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano , can be reached on (703) 308-3929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Corbin/sp

October 17, 2002


ARTHUR L. CORBIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

CO-17-52